Chapter 3 Pulse Modulation


3.1 Introduction

Let $g_{\delta}(t)$ denote the ideal sampled signal

$$g_{\delta}(t) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} g(nT_s) \, \delta(t - nT_s) \tag{3.1}$$

where T_s : sampling period

$$f_s = 1/T_s$$
: sampling rate



From Table A6.3 we have

$$g(t) \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(t - nT_s) \Leftrightarrow$$

$$G(f) * \frac{1}{T_s} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(f - \frac{m}{T_s})$$

$$= \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} f_s G(f - mf_s)$$

$$g_{\delta}(t) \Leftrightarrow f_{s} \sum_{s}^{\infty} G(f - mf_{s})$$
 (3.2)


or we may apply Fourier Transform on (3.1) to obtain

$$G_{\delta}(f) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} g(nT_s) \exp(-j2\pi nf T_s)$$
 (3.3)

or
$$G_{\delta}(f) = f_s G(f) + f_s \sum_{\substack{m=-\infty\\m\neq 0}}^{\infty} G(f - mf_s)$$
 (3.5)

If
$$G(f) = 0$$
 for $|f| \ge W$ and $T_s = \frac{1}{2W}$

$$G_{\delta}(f) = \sum_{n = -\infty}^{\infty} g(\frac{n}{2W}) \exp(-\frac{j\pi n f}{W})$$
 (3.4)



With

$$1.G(f) = 0$$
 for $|f| \ge W$

$$2.f_{s} = 2W$$

we find from Equation (3.5) that

$$G(f) = \frac{1}{2W}G_{\delta}(f), -W < f < W$$
 (3.6)

Substituting (3.4) into (3.6) we may rewrite G(f) as

$$G(f) = \frac{1}{2W} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} g(\frac{n}{2W}) \exp(-\frac{j\pi nf}{W}), -W < f < W$$
(3.7)

g(t) is uniquely determined by $g(\frac{n}{2W})$ for $-\infty < n < \infty$

or
$$\left\{g(\frac{n}{2W})\right\}$$
 contains all information of $g(t)$

To reconstruct
$$g(t)$$
 from $\left\{g(\frac{n}{2W})\right\}$, we may have

$$g(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(f) \exp(j2\pi f t) df$$

$$= \int_{-W}^{W} \frac{1}{2W} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} g(\frac{n}{2W}) \exp(-\frac{j\pi nf}{W}) \exp(j2\pi ft) df$$

$$= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} g(\frac{n}{2W}) \frac{1}{2W} \int_{-W}^{W} \exp\left[j2\pi f(t - \frac{n}{2W})\right] df \quad (3.8)$$

$$= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} g\left(\frac{n}{2W}\right) \frac{\sin(2\pi Wt - n\pi)}{2\pi Wt - n\pi}$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g(\frac{n}{2W}) \sin c(2Wt - n) , -\infty < t < \infty$$
 (3.9)

(3.9) is an interpolation formula of g(t)

Sampling Theorem for strictly band - limited signals

1.a signal which is limited to -W < f < W, can be completely

described by
$$\left\{g(\frac{n}{2W})\right\}$$
.

2. The signal can be completely recovered from $\left\{g(\frac{n}{2W})\right\}$

Nyquist rate = 2W

Nyquist interval = $\frac{1}{2W}$

When the signal is not band - limited (under sampling) aliasing occurs. To avoid aliasing, we may limit the signal bandwidth or have higher sampling rate.




Figure 3.3 (a) Spectrum of a signal. (b) Spectrum of an undersampled version of the signal exhibiting the aliasing phenomenon.





Figure 3.4 (*a*) Anti-alias filtered spectrum of an information-bearing signal. (*b*) Spectrum of instantaneously sampled version of the signal, assuming the use of a sampling rate greater than the Nyquist rate. (*c*) Magnitude response of reconstruction filter.

3.3 Pulse-Amplitude Modulation



Let s(t) denote the sequence of flat - top pulses as

$$s(t) = \sum_{n = -\infty}^{\infty} m(nT_s) h(t - nT_s)$$
(3.10)

$$h(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & 0 < t < T \\ \frac{1}{2}, & t = 0, t = T \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
 (3.11)

The instantaneously sampled version of m(t) is

$$m_{\delta}(t) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} m(nT_s)\delta(t - nT_s)$$
 (3.12)

$$m_{\delta}(t) * h(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} m_{\delta}(\tau)h(t-\tau)d\tau$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} m(nT_s)\delta(\tau - nT_s)h(t-\tau)d\tau$$

$$= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} m(nT_s) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(\tau - nT_s)h(t-\tau)d\tau \quad (3.13)$$

Using the sifting property, we have

$$m_{\delta}(t) * h(t) = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} m(nT_s)h(t - nT_s)$$
(3.14)

The PAM signal s(t) is

$$s(t) = m_{\delta}(t) * h(t) \tag{3.15}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow S(f) = M_{\delta}(f)H(f) \tag{3.16}$$


Recall (3.2)
$$g_{\delta}(t) \Leftrightarrow f_{s} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} G(f - mf_{s})$$
 (3.2)

$$\mathbf{M}_{\delta}(f) = f_{s} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} M(f - kf_{s})$$
 (3.17)

$$S(f) = f_s \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} M(f - kf_s) H(f)$$
 (3.18)

與idea sampling比較多H(f)

- The circuit of Figure 11-3 is used to illustrate pulse amplitude modulation (PAM). The FET is the switch used as a sampling gate.
- When the FET is on, the analog voltage is shorted to ground; when off, the FET is essentially open, so that the analog signal sample appears at the output.
- Op-amp 1 is a noninverting amplifier that isolates the analog input channel from the switching function.




🔘 圖 11-3 脈衝幅度調變器,自然取樣。

Figure 11-3. Pulse amplitude modulator, natural sampling.

- Op-amp 2 is a high input-impedance voltage follower capable of driving low-impedance loads (high "fanout").
- The resistor R is used to limit the output current of opamp 1 when the FET is "on" and provides a voltage division with $r_{\rm d}$ of the FET. ($r_{\rm d}$, the drain-to-source resistance, is low but not zero)


- The most common technique for sampling voice in PCM systems is to a sample-and-hold circuit.
- As seen in Figure 11-4, the instantaneous amplitude of the analog (voice) signal is held as a constant charge on a capacitor for the duration of the sampling period T_s .
- This technique is useful for holding the sample constant while other processing is taking place, but it alters the frequency spectrum and introduces an error, called aperture error, resulting in an inability to recover exactly the original analog signal.

- The amount of error depends on how mach the analog changes during the holding time, called aperture time.
- To estimate the maximum voltage error possible, determine the maximum slope of the analog signal and multiply it by the aperture time ΔT in Figure 11-4.



■ 11-4 様本 – 和 – 持保電路和平頂取様。


Figure 11-4. Sample-and-hold circuit and flat-top sampling.



□ 圖 11-5 平頂 PAM 訊號。

Figure 11-5. Flat-top PAM signals.

Recovering the original message signal m(t) from PAM signal



Where the filter bandwidth is W

The filter output is
$$f_sM(f)H(f)(k=o\ in\ (3.18))$$
.

Note that the Fourier transform of h(t) is given by

$$H(f) = T \operatorname{sinc}(f T) \exp(-j\pi f T)$$
 (3.19)
amplitude distortion delay = $T/2$

⇒ aperture effect

Let the equalizer response is


$$\frac{1}{|H(f)|} = \frac{1}{T\operatorname{sinc}(fT)} = \frac{\pi f}{\sin(\pi fT)}$$
(3.20)

Ideally the original signal m(t) can be recovered completely.

3.4 Other Forms of Pulse Modulation


- a. Pulse-duration modulation (PDM) (PWM)
- b. Pulse-position modulation (PPM)

PDM and PPM have a similar noise performance as FM.



(d)

- In pulse width modulation (PWM), the width of each pulse is made directly proportional to the amplitude of the information signal.
- In pulse position modulation, constant-width pulses are used, and the position or time of occurrence of each pulse from some reference time is made directly proportional to the amplitude of the information signal.
- PWM and PPM are compared and contrasted to PAM in Figure 11-11.



● 圖 11-11 類比脈衝調變訊號。 Figure 11-11. Analog/pulse modulation signals.

- Figure 11-12 shows a PWM modulator. This circuit is simply a high-gain comparator that is switched on and off by the sawtooth waveform derived from a very stable-frequency oscillator.
- Notice that the output will go to $+V_{cc}$ the instant the analog signal exceeds the sawtooth voltage.
- The output will go to $-V_{\rm cc}$ the instant the analog signal is less than the sawtooth voltage. With this circuit the average value of both inputs should be nearly the same.
- This is easily achieved with equal value resistors to ground. Also the +V and -V values should not exceed $V_{\rm cc}$.




Figure 11-12. Pulse width modulator.

- A 710-type IC comparator can be used for positive-only output pulses that are also TTL compatible. PWM can also be produced by modulation of various voltage-controllable multivibrators.
- One example is the popular 555 timer IC. Other (pulse output) VCOs, like the 566 and that of the 565 phase-locked loop IC, will produce PWM.
- This points out the similarity of PWM to continuous analog FM. Indeed, PWM has the advantages of FM---constant amplitude and good noise immunity---and also its disadvantage---large bandwidth.

- Since the width of each pulse in the PWM signal shown in Figure 11-13 is directly proportional to the amplitude of the modulating voltage.
- The signal can be differentiated as shown in Figure 11-13 (to PPM in part a), then the positive pulses are used to start a ramp, and the negative clock pulses stop and reset the ramp.
- This produces frequency-to-amplitude conversion (or equivalently, pulse width-to-amplitude conversion).
- The variable-amplitude ramp pulses are then timeaveraged (integrated) to recover the analog signal.





Figure 11-13. Pulse position modulator.

- As illustrated in Figure 11-14, a narrow clock pulse sets an RS flip-flop output high, and the next PPM pulses resets the output to zero.
- The resulting signal, PWM, has an average voltage proportional to the time difference between the PPM pulses and the reference clock pulses.
- Time-averaging (integration) of the output produces the analog variations.
- PPM has the same disadvantage as continuous analog phase modulation: a coherent clock reference signal is necessary for demodulation.
- The reference pulses can be transmitted along with the PPM signal.

- This is achieved by full-wave rectifying the PPM pulses of Figure 11-13a, which has the effect of reversing the polarity of the negative (clock-rate) pulses.
- Then an edge-triggered flipflop (J-K or D-type) can be used to accomplish the same function as the RS flipflop of Figure 11-14, using the clock input.
- The penalty is: more pulses/second will require greater bandwidth, and the pulse width limit the pulse deviations for a given pulse period.



○圖 11-14 PPM 解調器。


Figure 11-14. PPM demodulator.

Pulse Code Modulation (PCM)

- Pulse code modulation (PCM) is produced by analogto-digital conversion process.
- As in the case of other pulse modulation techniques, the rate at which samples are taken and encoded must conform to the Nyquist sampling rate.
- The sampling rate must be greater than, twice the highest frequency in the analog signal,

$$f_{\rm s} > 2f_{\rm A}({\rm max})$$

3.6 Quantization Process



Define partition cell

$$\mathcal{J}_{k}: \{m_{k} < m \le m_{k+1}\}, k = 1, 2, \dots, L$$
 (3.21)

Where m_k is the decision level or the decision threshold.

Amplitude quantization: The process of transforming the sample amplitude $m(nT_s)$ into a discrete amplitude $v(nT_s)$ as shown in Fig 3.9

If $m(t) \in \mathcal{J}_{k}$ then the quantizer output is v_{k} where v_{k} , $k = 1, 2, \dots, L$ are the representation or reconstruction levels, $m_{k+1} - m_{k}$ is the step size.

The mapping
$$v = g(m)$$
 (3.22)

is called the quantizer characteristic, which is a staircase function.




Figure 3.10 Two types of quantization: (a) midtread and (b) midrise.

Quantization Noise




Figure 3.11 Illustration of the quantization process. (Adapted from Bennett, 1948, with permission of AT&T.)

Let the quantization error be denoted by the random variable Q of sample value q

$$q = m - v \tag{3.23}$$

$$Q = M - V, (E[M] = 0)$$
 (3.24)

Assuming a uniform quantizer of the midrise type

the step - size is
$$\Delta = \frac{2m_{\text{max}}}{L}$$
 (3.25)

 $-m_{\text{max}} < m < m_{\text{max}}$, L: total number of levels

$$f_{\mathcal{Q}}(q) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\Delta}, & -\frac{\Delta}{2} < q \le \frac{\Delta}{2} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
 (3.26)

$$\sigma_{Q}^{2} = E[Q^{2}] = \int_{-\frac{\Delta}{2}}^{\frac{\Delta}{2}} q^{2} f_{Q}(q) dq = \frac{1}{\Delta} \int_{-\frac{\Delta}{2}}^{\frac{\Delta}{2}} q^{2} dq$$

$$=\frac{\Delta^2}{12}\tag{3.28}$$

When the quatized sample is expressed in binary form,

$$L = 2^R \tag{3.29}$$

where *R* is the number of bits per sample

$$R = \log_2 L \tag{3.30}$$

$$\Delta = \frac{2m_{\text{max}}}{2^R} \tag{3.31}$$

$$\sigma_Q^2 = \frac{1}{3} m_{\text{max}}^2 2^{-2R} \qquad (3.32)$$

Let P denote the average power of m(t)

$$\Rightarrow (SNR)_o = \frac{P}{\sigma_Q^2}$$

$$= (\frac{3P}{m_{\text{max}}^2})2^{2R} \qquad (3.33)$$

 $(SNR)_{o}$ increases exponentially with increasing R (bandwidth).

Page 147 FM
$$(SNR)_{FM_o} = \frac{3A_c^2P}{2N_oW^3}k_f^2 \propto (\Delta f)^2$$
 (2.149)

EXAMPLE 3.1 Sinusoidal Modulating Signal

Consider the special case of a full-load sinusoidal modulating signal of amplitude A_m , which utilizes all the representation levels provided. The average signal power is (assuming a load of 1 ohm)

$$P = \frac{A_m^2}{2}$$

The total range of the quantizer input is $2A_m$, because the modulating signal swings between $-A_m$ and A_m . We may therefore set $m_{\text{max}} = A_m$, in which case the use of Equation (3.32) yields the average power (variance) of the quantization noise as

$$\sigma_{Q}^{2} = \frac{1}{3}A_{m}^{2}2^{-2R}$$

Thus the output signal-to-noise ratio of a uniform quantizer, for a full-load test tone, is

$$(SNR)_{O} = \frac{A_m^2/2}{A_m^2 2^{-2R}/3} = \frac{3}{2} (2^{2R})$$
 (3.34)

Expressing the signal-to-noise ratio in decibels, we get

$$10 \log_{10}(SNR)_{\odot} = 1.8 + 6R \tag{3.35}$$

TABLE 3.1 Signal-to-(quantization) noise ratio for varying number of representation levels for sinusoidal modulation

Number of Representation Levels, L	Number of Bits per Sample, R	Signal-to-Noise Ratio (dB)
32	5	31.8
64	6	37.8
128	7	43.8
256	8	49.8

Conditions for Optimality of scalar Quantizers

Let m(t) be a message signal drawn from a stationary process M(t)

$$-A \le m \le A$$

$$m_1 = -A$$
 m_2 m_3 \cdots m_{L-1} m_L $m_{L+1} = +A$

$$m_1 = -A$$
 $m_{L+1} = A$

$$m_k \le m_{k+1}$$
 for $k=1,2,...,L$

The kth partition cell is defined as

$$\mathcal{J}_k$$
: $m_k < m \le m_{k+1}$ for $k=1,2,...,L$

 $d(m, v_k)$: distortion measure for using v_k to represent values inside \mathcal{I}_k .

Find the two sets $\{v_k\}_{k=1}^L$ and $\{\mathcal{I}_k\}_{k=1}^L$, that minimize the average distortion

$$D = \sum_{k=1}^{L} \int_{m \in \mathcal{I}_k} d(m, \nu_k) f_M(m) dm$$
 (3.37)

where $f_M(m)$ is the pdf

The mean - square distortion is used commonly

$$d(m, v_k) = (m - v_k)^2 (3.38)$$

The optimization is a nonlinear problem which may not have closed form solution. However the quantizer consists of two components: an encoder characterized by \mathcal{I}_k , and a decoder characterized by ν_k

Condition 1. Optimality of the encoder for a given decoder

Given the set $\{v_k\}_{k=1}^L$, find the set $\{\mathcal{I}_k\}_{k=1}^L$ that minimizes D.

That is to find the encoder defined by the nonlinear mapping

$$g(m) = v_k, \quad k = 1, 2, ..., L$$
 (3.40)

such that we have

$$D = \int_{-A}^{A} d(m, g(m)) f_{M}(m) dm \ge \sum_{k=1}^{L} \int_{m \in \mathcal{I}_{k}} \left[\min d(m, v_{k}) \right] f_{M}(m) dm$$
 (3.41)

To attain the lower bound, if

$$d(m, v_k) \le d(m, v_j)$$
 holds for all $j \ne k$ (3.42)

This is called nearest neighbor condition.

Condition 2 .Optimality of the decoder for a given encoder Given the set $\left\{\mathcal{I}_k\right\}_{k=1}^L$, find the set $\left\{v_k\right\}_{k=1}^L$ that minimized D . For mean-square distortion

$$D = \sum_{k=1}^{L} \int_{m \in \mathcal{I}_k} (m - \nu_k)^2 f_M(m) dm, \qquad (3.43)$$

$$\frac{\partial D}{\partial v_{k}} = -2\sum_{k=1}^{L} \int_{m \in \mathcal{I}_{k}} (m - v_{k}) f_{M}(m) dm = 0 \qquad (3.44)$$

$$v_{k, \text{ opt}} = \frac{\int_{m \in \mathcal{I}_k} m f_M(m) dm}{\int_{m \in \mathcal{I}_k} f_M(m) dm}$$
(3.45)
Probability P_k (given)

$$= E \left[M \left| m_k \left\langle m \leq m_{k+1} \right| \right. \right. \tag{3.47}$$

Using iteration 先用两桶廠 I, condition II until D reaches a minimum

Pulse Code Modulation




Figure 3.13 The basic elements of a PCM system.

Quantization (nonuniform quantizer)

 μ -law

$$\left|\nu\right| = \frac{\log(1+\mu|m|)}{\log(1+\mu)}\tag{3.48}$$

$$|\nu| = \frac{\log(1+\mu|m|)}{\log(1+\mu)}$$
(3.48)
$$\frac{d|m|}{d|\nu|} = \frac{\log(1+\mu)}{\mu} (1+\mu|m|)$$
(3.49)

A-law

$$|\nu| = \begin{cases} \frac{A|m|}{1 + \log A} & 0 \le |m| \le \frac{1}{A} \\ \frac{1 + \log(A|m|)}{1 + \log A} & \frac{1}{A} \le |m| \le 1 \end{cases}$$
(3.50)

$$\frac{d|m|}{d|v|} = \begin{cases}
\frac{1 + \log A}{A} & 0 \le |m| \le \frac{1}{A} \\
(1 + \log A) \mid m \mid \frac{1}{A} \le |m| \le 1
\end{cases}$$
(3.51)





Figure 3.14 Compression laws. (a) μ -law. (b) A-law.



Encoding

TABLE 3.2 Binary number system for R = 4 bits/sample

Ordinal Number of Representation Level	Level Number Expressed as Sum of Powers of 2	Binary Number
0		0000
1	2^{0}	0001
2	2^1	0010
3	$2^1 + 2^0$	0011
4	22	0100
5	$2^2 + 2^0$	0101
6	$2^2 + 2^1$	0110
7	$2^2 + 2^1 + 2^0$	0111
8	2^3	1000
9	$2^3 + 2^0$	1001
10	$2^3 + 2^1$	1010
11	$2^3 + 2^1 + 2^0$	1011
12	$2^3 + 2^2$	1100
13	$2^3 + 2^2 + 2^0$	1101
14	$2^3 + 2^2 + 2^1$	1110
15	$2^3 + 2^2 + 2^1 + 2^0$	1111

Line codes:

- 1. Unipolar nonreturn-to-zero (NRZ) Signaling
- 2. Polar nonreturn-to-zero(NRZ) Signaling
- 3. Unipolar return-to-zero (RZ) Signaling
- 4. Bipolar return-to-zero (BRZ) Signaling
- 5. Split-phase (Manchester code)






Figure 3.15 Line codes for the electrical representations of binary data.

- (a) Unipolar NRZ signaling. (b) Polar NRZ signaling.
- (c) Unipolar RZ signaling. (d) Bipolar RZ signaling.
- (e) Split-phase or Manchester code.




Page 49 Fig 1.11

Differential Encoding (encode information in terms of signal transition; a transition is used to designate Symbol 0)



Regeneration (reamplification, retiming, reshaping)



Two measure factors: bit error rate (BER) and jitter.

Decoding and Filtering

3.8 Noise consideration in PCM systems

(Channel noise, quantization noise) (will be discussed in Chapter 4)




TABLE 3.3 Influence of E_b/N_0 on the probability of error

E _b /N _o	Probability of Error P _e	For a Bit Rate of 10 ⁵ b/s. This Is About One Error Every	
4.3 dB	10^{-2}	10^{-3} second	
8.4	10^{-4}	10^{-1} second	
10.6	10^{-6}	10 seconds	
12.0	10^{-8}	20 minutes	
13.0	10^{-10}	1 day	
14.0	10^{-12}	3 months	

Time-Division Multiplexing




Figure 3.19 Block diagram of TDM system.

Synchronization

Example 2.2 The T1 System

Linear Segment Number	Step-Size	Projections of Segment End Points onto the Horizontal Axis
Mariness of the Property	2	±31
1a, 1b	4	±95
2a, 2b	8	±223
3a, 3b	16	±479
4a, 4b	32	±991
5a, 5b	64	±2015
6a, 6b	128	±4063
7a, 7b	256	±8159





Figure 11.9 Positive portion of the segmented approximation of the μ -law quantizing curve used in the North American DS1 PCM channelizing equipment. (Courtesy ITT Telecommunications, Raleigh, NC.)

3.10 Digital Multiplexers




Page 216 Example 3.3 AT&T M12

3.11 Virtues, Limitations and Modifications of PCM

Advantages of PCM

- 1. Robustness to noise and interference
- 2. Efficient regeneration
- 3. Efficient SNR and bandwidth trade-off
- 4. Uniform format
- 5. Ease add and drop
- 6. Secure

3.12 Delta Modulation (DM) (Simplicity)



Let
$$m|n| = m(nT_s)$$
, $n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, ...$

where T_s is the sampling period and $m(nT_s)$ is a sample of m(t).

The error signal is

$$e[n] = m[n] - m_q[n-1]$$
 (3.52)

$$e_q[n] = \Delta \operatorname{sgn}(e[n]) \tag{3.53}$$

$$m_{q}[n] = m_{q}[n-1] + e_{q}[n]$$
 (3.54)

where $m_q[n]$ is the quantizer output, $e_q[n]$ is the quantized version of e[n], and Δ is the step size




Figure 3.23 DM system. (a) Transmitter. (b) Receiver.

The modulator consists of a comparator, a quantizer, and an accumulator The output of the accumulator is

$$m_{q}[n] = \Delta \sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{sgn}(e[i])$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} e_{q}[i] \qquad (3.55)$$
Granular noise

Staircase approximation
$$m_{q}(t)$$

Two types of quantization errors : **Slope overload distortion** and **granular noise**

Slope Overload Distortion and Granular Noise

Denote the quantization error by q[n],

$$m_q[n] = m[n] - q[n]$$
 (3.56)

Recall (3.52), we have

$$e[n] = m[n] - m[n-1] - q[n-1]$$
 (3.57)

Except for q[n-1], the quantizer input is a first

backward difference of the input signal (differentiator)

To avoid slope - overload distortion, we require

(slope)
$$\frac{\Delta}{T_s} \ge \max \left| \frac{dm(t)}{dt} \right|$$
 (3.58)

On the other hand, granular noise occurs when step size Δ is too large relative to the local slope of m(t).

Delta-Sigma modulation (sigma-delta modulation)

The $\Delta - \Sigma$ modulation which has an **integrator** can relieve the draw back of delta modulation (**differentiator**)

Beneficial effects of using integrator:

- 1. Pre-emphasize the low-frequency content
- 2. Increase correlation between adjacent samples (reduce the variance of the error signal at the quantizer input)
- 3. Simplify receiver design

Because the transmitter has an integrator, the receiver consists simply of a low-pass filter.

(The accumulator in the conventional DM receiver is cancelled by the differentiator)





Figure 3.25 Two equivalent versions of delta-sigma modulation system.

3.13 Linear Prediction (to reduce the sampling rate)

Consider a finite-duration impulse response (FIR) discrete-time filter which consists of three kinds of blocks:

- 1. Set of p (p: prediction order) unit-delay elements (z^{-1})
- 2. Set of multipliers with coefficients $w_1, w_2, \dots w_p$
- 3. Set of adders (Σ)



The filter output (The linear predition of the input) is

$$\hat{x}[n] = \sum_{k=1}^{p} w_k \, x(n-k) \tag{3.59}$$

The prediction error is

$$e[n] = x[n] - \hat{x}[n] \tag{3.60}$$

Let the index of performance be

$$J = E[e^2[n]]$$
 (mean square error) (3.61)

Find $w_1, w_2, ..., w_n$ to minimize J

From (3.59)(3.60) and (3.61) we have

$$J = E[x^{2}[n]] - 2\sum_{k=1}^{p} w_{k} E[x[n]x[n-k]]$$

$$+\sum_{j=1}^{p}\sum_{k=1}^{p}w_{j}w_{k}E[x[n-j]x[n-k]]$$
 (3.62)

Assume X(t) is stationary process with zero mean (E[x[n]] = 0)

$$\sigma_X^2 = E[x^2[n]] - (E[x[n]])^2$$
$$= E[x^2[n]]$$

The autocorrelation

$$R_X(\tau = kT_s) = R_X[k] = E[x[n]x[n-k]]$$

We may simplify J as

$$J = \sigma_X^2 - 2\sum_{k=1}^p w_k R_X[k] + \sum_{j=1}^p \sum_{k=1}^p w_j w_k R_X[k-j]$$
 (3.63)

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial w_k} = -2R_X[k] + 2\sum_{j=1}^p w_j R_X[k-j] = 0$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{p} w_{j} R_{X} [k-j] = R_{X} [k] = R_{X} [-k], k = 1,2,...,p (3.64)$$

(3.64) are called Wiener - Hopf equations

For convenience, we may rewrite the Wiener-Hopf equations as, if \mathbf{R}_{x}^{-1} exists $\mathbf{w}_{0} = \mathbf{R}_{x}^{-1}\mathbf{r}_{x}$ (3.66)

where
$$\mathbf{w}_0 = [w_1, w_2, ..., w_p]^T$$

$$\mathbf{r}_{x} = [R_{x}[1], R_{x}[2], ..., R_{x}[p]]^{T}$$

$$\mathbf{R}_{X} = \begin{bmatrix} R_{X}[0] & R_{X}[1] & \cdots & R_{X}[p-1] \\ R_{X}[1] & R_{X}[0] & \cdots & R_{X}[p-2] \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ R_{X}[p-1] & R_{X}[p-2] & \cdots & R_{X}[0] \end{bmatrix}$$

The filter coefficients are uniquely determined by

$$R_X[0], R_X[1], \dots, R_X[p]$$

Substituting (3.64) into (3.63) yields

$$J_{\min} = \sigma_X^2 - 2\sum_{k=1}^p w_k R_X[k] + \sum_{k=1}^p w_k R_X[k]$$

$$= \sigma_X^2 - \sum_{k=1}^p w_k R_X[k]$$

$$= \sigma_X^2 - \mathbf{r}_X^T \mathbf{w}_0 = \sigma_X^2 - \mathbf{r}_X^T \mathbf{R}_X^{-1} \mathbf{r}_X$$

$$:: \mathbf{r}^T \mathbf{P}^{-1} \mathbf{r} > 0 : L \text{ is always loss than } \sigma^2$$

$$(3.67)$$

 $:: \mathbf{r}_{X}^{T} \mathbf{R}_{X}^{-1} \mathbf{r}_{X} \geq 0, :: \mathbf{J}_{\min}$ is always less than σ_{X}^{2}

Linear adaptive prediction (If $R_x[k]$ for varying k is not available)

The predictor is adaptive in the follow sense

- 1. Compute w_k , k = 1, 2, ..., p, starting any initial values
- 2. Do iteration using the method of steepest descent Define the gradient vector

$$g_k = \frac{\partial J}{\partial w_k} , k = 1, 2, \dots, p$$
 (3.68)

 $w_k[n]$ denotes the value at iteration n. Then update $w_k[n+1]$

$$w_k[n+1] = w_k[n] - \frac{1}{2}\mu g_k, k = 1,2,...,p$$
 (3.69)

where μ is a step - size parameter and $\frac{1}{2}$ is for convenience of presentation.

Differentiating (3.63), we have

$$g_{k} = \frac{\partial J}{\partial w_{k}} = -2R_{X}[k] + 2\sum_{j=1}^{p} w_{j}R_{X}[k-j]$$

$$= -2E[x[n]x[n-k]] + 2\sum_{j=1}^{p} w_{j}E[x[n-j]x[n-k]], k = 1,2,...,p$$
 (3.70)

To simplify the computing we use x[n]x[n-k] for E[x[n]x[n-k]] (ignore the expectation)

$$\hat{g}_{k}[n] = -2x[n]x[n-k] + 2\sum_{j=1}^{p} w_{j}[n]x[n-j]x[n-k], k = 1,2,...,p$$
 (3.71)

Substituting (3.71) into (3.69)

$$\hat{w}_{k}[n+1] = \hat{w}_{k}[n] + \mu x[n-k] \left(x[n] - \sum_{j=1}^{p} \hat{w}_{j}[n] x[n-j] \right)$$

$$= \hat{w}_{k}[n] + \mu x[n-k] e[n] , k = 1,2,...,p$$
(3.72)

where
$$e[n] = x[n] - \sum_{j=1}^{p} \hat{w}_{j}[n]x[n-j]$$
 by (3.59) + (3.60) (3.73)

The above equations are called lease - mean - square algorithm




Figure 3.27
Block diagram illustrating the linear adaptive prediction process.

3.14 Differential Pulse-Code Modulation (DPCM)

Usually **PCM** has the sampling rate higher than the **Nyquist rate**. The encode signal contains redundant information. **DPCM** can efficiently remove this redundancy.





Figure 3.28 DPCM system. (a) Transmitter. (b) Receiver.



Input signal to the quantizer is defined by:

$$e[n] = m[n] - \hat{m}[n] \qquad (3.74)$$

 $\hat{m}[n]$ is a prediction value.

The quantizer output is

$$e_{a}[n] = e[n] + q[n] \tag{3.75}$$

where q[n] is quantization error.

The prediction filter input is

$$m_q[n] = \underline{\hat{m}[n] + e[n]} + q[n] \quad (3.77)$$

From (3.74)

$$\Rightarrow m_q[n] = m[n] + q[n] \qquad (3.78)$$

Processing Gain

The (SNR)_o of the DPCM system is

$$(SNR)_{o} = \frac{\sigma_{M}^{2}}{\sigma_{O}^{2}}$$
 (3.79)

where σ_M^2 and σ_Q^2 are variances of m[n](E[m[n]] = 0) and q[n]

$$(SNR)_{o} = (\frac{\sigma_{M}^{2}}{\sigma_{E}^{2}})(\frac{\sigma_{E}^{2}}{\sigma_{Q}^{2}})$$
$$= G_{p}(SNR)_{Q} \quad (3.80)$$

where σ_E^2 is the variance of the predictions error and the signal - to - quantization noise ratio is

$$(SNR)_Q = \frac{\sigma_E^2}{\sigma_Q^2}$$
 (3.81)

Processing Gain,
$$G_p = \frac{\sigma_M^2}{\sigma_E^2}$$
 (3.82)

Design a prediction filter to maximize G_p (minimize σ_E^2)

3.15 Adaptive Differential Pulse-Code Modulation (ADPCM)

Need for coding speech at low bit rates, we have two aims in mind:

- 1. Remove redundancies from the speech signal as far as possible.
- 2. Assign the available bits in a perceptually efficient manner.




Figure 3.29 Adaptive quantization with backward estimation (AQB).




Figure 3.30 Adaptive prediction with backward estimation (APB).

Table 12.3-1	Comparison of voice encoding methods			
Encoding method	Sampling rate, kHz	Bits per sample	Bit rate, kbps	
DM	64-128	1	64–128	
PCM	8	7–8	56-64	
ADM	48-64	1	48-64	
DPCM	8	4–6	32-48	
ADPCM	8	3-4	24–32	
LPC	0.04-0.1	≈ 80	3-8	

DM: delta modulation

PCM: pulse-code modulation

ADM: adaptive delta modulation

DPCM: differentially pulse code modulation

ADPCM: adaptive differentially pulse-code modulation

LPC: linear predictive coding